The OPM hack explained: Bad security practices meet China's Captain America

How the OPM hack happened, the detection method, and a timeline of the infiltration and response.

By Josh Fruhlinger
CSO | FEB 11, 2020 5:00 AM PST

In April of 2015, IT staffers within the United States Office of Personnel Management (OPM), the agency that manages the government's civilian workforce, discovered that some of its personnel files had been hacked. Among the sensitive data that was exfiltrated were millions of SF-86 forms, which contain extremely personal information gathered during background checks for people seeking government security clearances, along with records of millions of people's fingerprints. The OPM breach led to a Congressional investigation and the resignation of top OPM executives, and its full implications—for national security and for the privacy of those whose records were stolen—are still not entirely clear.

[How much does a data breach cost? Here's where the money goes.] Get the latest from CSO by signing up for our newsletters.]

OPM hack timeline

As the official Congressional report on the incident says, "The exact details of how and when the attackers gained entry … are not exactly clear." Investigators believe that the attackers first [gained a foothold](#) in March of 2014, when the breaches began and ended in March of 2015.

The hack began in **November of 2013,** when the attackers first breached OPM networks. This attacker or group is dubbed X1 by the Congressional OPM data breach report. While X1 wasn't able to access any personnel records at that time, they did manage to exfiltrate manuals and IT system architecture information. The next month, in **December of 2013,** X1 ran what was definitively know that attackers were attempting to breach the systems of two contractors, USIS and KeyPoint, that conducted background checks on government employees and had access to OPM servers (though USIS may have actually been hacked by a different group).

The official OPM hack report

After an exhaustive and sometimes confrontational investigation, the House Oversight & Government Reform Committee released [a report](#) on the OPM data breach to the public. It's an exhaustive 241 pages, so much of the material in this article derives from its conclusions.

In March of 2014, OPM's security officials realized they'd been hacked. However, they didn't disclose the breach at that time, and, having determined that the attackers were confined to a part of the network that didn't have any personnel data, OPM officials chose to allow the attackers to remain so they could monitor them and gain countermintelligence. OPM did plan for what they called the "big bang"—a system reboot that would purge the attackers from the system—which they implemented on **May 27, 2014,** when the attackers began to load keyloggers onto database administrators' workstations.

Unfortunately, on **May 7, 2014,** an attacker or group dubbed X3by the report had used credentials stolen from KeyPoint to establish another foothold in the OPM network and install [malware](#) there to create a backdoor. This breach went undetected and the "big bang" failed to remove X3's access to the backdoor. In **July and August of 2014,** these attackers exfiltrated the background investigation data from OPM's systems.

They weren't done, though. In **October 2014,** the attackers had moved through the OPM environment to breach a Department of Interior server where security records were stored, and in **December 2014 another** 4.2 million personnel records were exfiltrated. Fingerprint data was exfiltrated in **late March of 2015,** finally, on **April 15, 2015,** security personnel noticed unusual activity within the OPM's networks, which quickly led them to realize that attackers still had a foothold in their systems.

RECOMMENDED WHITEPAPERS

The Data Security CEO Desk eBook

Personal Security for Employees in the New Normal

Building the New Path Forward: How Technology is Propelling Business Change

How did the OPM hack happen? The technical details

It's not entirely clear how X1 gained access to OPM's networks, but OPM had already been roundly criticized for poor security practices in the period leading up to the intrusion. It's also not entirely clear that X1 and X2 were the same person or group, but seeing as X1 slick information about OPM's network that would've been helpful to X2's agenda, the assumption is that they were at least working in tandem.

Personal Security for Employees in the New Normal

Building the New Path Forward: How Technology is Propelling Business Change

What is clear is that OPM's technical leadership, overly confident that they had defeated X1 with the "big bang," did not use the intrusion as a "wake up call" and failed to take measures that would have helped them detect X2. They had also largely [relied on methods a number of inspectors had recommended security measures,](#) the most the important of which in the event was [two-factor authentication.](#) Under a two-factor authentication scheme, users need a chip-enhanced ID card that correlates with their username and password in order to log into the system. Without it, an attacker who manages to steal a valid username and password—as X2 did, using a login pilfered from KeyPoint—has free access to the system. OPM finally implemented two-factor authentication in January 2015, after X2 had already worked their way into the network.

Sponsored: Networks 101
Cloudflare SecureX: Get More Horsepower Out of Your Cybersecurity Investments
Cloudflare SecureX
Get more horsepower out of your cybersecurity investments
Click Here

At any rate, once X2 had access to OPM systems, they used an [Active Directory privilege escalation technique](#) to obtain root access. This was used to install a variant of the Plugx malware, a remote access tool that allowed the attackers to navigate around OPM's systems and compress and exfiltrate data, on several of OPM servers—including, crucially, the "[jumpbox,](#)" the administrative server that was used to log into other servers. [Sakula,](#) another [threat](#) piece of remote control malware, was installed around the same time.

OPM breach response

As noted, X2's infiltration was finally detected on [April 15, 2015,](#) when a security engineer was investigating encrypted SSL traffic on OPM's networks. The researcher determined a beacon-like ping was connecting a component on OPM's infrastructure called mcafee.dll to a website called opmsecurity.cn. At very casual first glance this may seem like an open and shut case, but mcafee.dll looks like part of a McAfee security software suite, something OPM didn't use, and opmsecurity.cn, despite its name, wasn't registered by the agency. In fact, mcafee.dll was cloaking the Plugx malware, and opm-security.cn was one of several command-and-control servers for the attackers. (The attackers had a sense of humor: the domain name, and others like it, were registered to "Steve Rogers" and "Tony Stark," aka Marvel's Captain America and Iron Man.)

The scramble to diagnose the problem and defeat the attackers, which quickly involved the government's US-CERT emergency team, demonstrated some of the weaknesses in the OPM's processes that had helped make the incident possible in the first place. Containment efforts involved two security software vendors with similar names: Cylance and CyTech.

Sponsored: Networks 101
Cloudflare SecureX: Get More Horsepower Out of Your Cybersecurity Investments
Cloudflare SecureX
Get more horsepower out of your cybersecurity investments
Click Here

The tool security staffers had used to detect the communication with opm-security.org was called Cylance's Dash. In 2014, the security team had signed a contract with Cylance to use Dash, but had not yet installed Cylance. This was rejected by OPM's IT, although the reasons given to Congressional investigators by OPM staff weren't consistent; some said it was because the contract hadn't been signed, others that Cylance had had difficulty IT had installing it on individual workstations. At any rate, the justification was chalked up to office politics in testimony before the Oversight Committee.

Sponsored: Software Defined Networks Is Now Ready for Remote Workforces
Robin Vann, Chief Solution Officer and Co-Founder of NETSCOUT, comments on the success of software defined networks during the COVID-19 crisis.

Once it became clear that a breach was in progress, OPM staff requested help from Cylance to use Cylance's Dash to diagnose forensic images of OPM servers. Since this was a task more suited to Cylance Protect, they called out that tool in a few mid-mode, and it "[it_so_has_a_Christmas_tree.](#)" At this point, OPM began using Protect extensively in its diagnostic efforts, despite not committing to license it from Cylance; they eventually agreed to do so on June 30th, a day before the trial period was set to expire. Cylance did not actually receive payment for its services.

Meanwhile, on **April 21st,** representatives from CyTech arrived at OPM for a long-scheduled appointment to demonstrate their CyFIR forensics program. The breach was not public knowledge at this point, and OPM staff did not share any information about it with company founder Ben Cotton, who was there to lead the demo. CyFIR also detected the Plugx malware, and Cotton immediately agreed to help with the response. Realizing that the crisis was grave enough to demand immediate action, Cotton began providing software and services based on a handshake agreement. He eventually [racked up](#) $600,000 in time from CyTech—but no contract was executed and CyTech was not paid.

Who hacked OPM?

While no "smoking gun" was found linking the attack to a specific perpetrator, the overwhelming consensus is that OPM was hacked by state-sponsored attackers working for the Chinese government. Among the evidence is the fact that PlugX, the backdoor tool installed on OPM's network, is [associated with Chinese-language hacking groups](#) and that attached political activists in Hong Kong and Tibet; the use of superhero names is also associated with groups tied to China.

OPM data would be considered extremely valuable to foreign intelligence services because it includes very sensitive information gathered as part of the process of granting security clearances. The CIA canceled assignments for some officers in China in the wake of the breach, since many were to work undercover as State Department officials and would've been identifiable from the data gathered.

In August of 2017, the FBI arrested Yu Pingan, a Chinese national, as he arrived in the US to attend a conference, charging him with "[conspiring with others] stealing malicious software known as Sakula," although the FBI has not yet made a public statement. In September 2018, National Security Advisor John Bolton, at an event where the White House unveiled a new cybersecurity strategy, [explicitly had the attack in it](#)mind, in February of 2020, the United States Department of Justice [formally charged four members of the Chinese](#) military with the [2017 attack on](#) Equifax that netted personally identifying information on millions of people. In the announcement of the indictment, the Equifax attack was explicitly linked to the OPM breach as part of the same larger operation. This was an extremely rare move—the U.S. rarely files criminal charges against foreign intelligence officers in order to avoid retaliation against American operatives—that underscored how seriously the U.S. government took the attack.

OPM hack lawsuit

Soon after the hack hit the news, two public employee unions sued OPM and KeyPoint over the breach, [alleging](#) that OPM violated our constitutional right to informational privacy by recklessly disregarding its inspector General's warnings over many years about its IT security deficiencies." The suit was [thrown out in 2017,](#) a judge ruled that the Privacy Act, the law that the suit was based on, used the term "disclosed" in relationship to data and that didn't apply in cases where data was stolen but not publicly revealed. The case is currently being [heard by an](#) appeals court.

OPM hack credit monitoring

One way the federal government has tried to mitigate potential damage to individuals whose identities were hacked is via free credit monitoring and ID protection. These services will be available until 2025, although a recent change in vendors meant that some victims [may have to take steps to](#) reapply for coverage. Two D.C. area members of the House of Representatives, Rep. Anna Eshoo (D-Calif.) and Rep. Ro Khanna (D-Calif.), [introduced legislation](#) in February of 2020 that would extend the coverage for victims of the OPM breach.

What will the OPM data breach cost the United States? Well, in credit monitoring services alone, the government will pay [at least $133 million;](#) the total figure might eventually [reach $1 billion.](#)

OPM hack: 2018 and beyond

One of the eerie things about the hack is the absence of recent news. The Justice Department has been mum about Yu Pingan since his arrest. There was a case of small-time identity theft in the summer of 2018 that the Department Justice [seemed to imply involved personal data that had](#) been stolen in the breach, but [they later admitted they had been in error.](#) As Arun Vishwanath, a cybersecurity researcher at the State University of New York at Buffalo, [told](#)theregister, "We haven't seen a single indication of this data being used anywhere. Yeah, we know the data is gone, but where did it go? What's the purpose of all of this? No one has the answer to any of that."

More on the OPM hack:

- **The OPM data breach 2 years on: What government agencies must do now**
- **The OPM breach report: A long time coming**
- **Two years after the OPM data breach: What government agencies must do now**
- **How the OPM data breach could have been prevented**
- **The IT biggest data breaches of the 21st century**

Next read this

- *It can open source intelligence tools*
- *How to rob a bank: A social engineering walkthrough*
- *10 common cloud security mistakes that put your data at risk*
- *17 cheap or free cybersecurity training resources*
- *7 types of hackers and how they will harm you*
- *Securing Microsoft Teams: The options are limited*
- *What is security is role in digital transformation?*

Sources: Data Breach | Hacking | Data Security | Security

Josh Fruhlinger is a writer and editor who lives in Los Angeles.

Follow

Copyright © 2020 IDG Communications, Inc.

The 10 most powerful cybersecurity companies

Recommended by

You May Also Like

New FBI strategy seeks to disrupt threat actors, help defenders through better coordination

Uber breach case a 'watershed moment' for CISOs' liability risk

Shaking off old public sector security perceptions at LBQ Group

A history of ransomware: The motives and methods behind these evolving attacks

Half of all virtual appliances have unpatched vulnerabilities and serious vulnerabilities

Stretched and stressed: Best practices for addressing security workers' mental health

Election security status: Some progress on ballot integrity, but...

Homomorphic encryption tools find their niche

New Microsoft 365 defaults, Application Guard beta add smart...

How the UK's IR35 tax rules affect interim and virtual CISOs

Privacy dominates Australians' concerns as cybercriminals pummel banks

WHITE PAPERS

Enabling Disruptive Growth through Frictionless Onboarding in the Digital...

Driving Digital Business Success: Building Agile I...

Adopt, Scale, Scale and Optimize: Your Guide to Agile Transformation

SPONSORED LINKS

Join the IDG TECHTalks Community, an exclusive online network where IT experts find resources to enhance their knowledge and career.

Digital Transformation wasn't supposed to happen this way. You need visibility to gain control. Take control with NETSCOUT.

Software defines your networks. NETSCOUT defines your visibility. See it all.

dStorage24 instantly searches terabytes of files, emails, databases, web data. See data for hundreds of reviews; enterprise & developer evaluations.

CSO
Get unique news, analysis and research on security leadership.

ABOUT CSO | CONTACT US | ADVERTISE WITH US | CSO EVENTS | REPRINTS | PRIVACY POLICY | TERMS OF USE | SITE MAP

FOLLOW CSO

IDG
Explore the IDG Network ▾

Copyright © 2020 IDG Communications, Inc.